

DataQs State Implementation Plan



UTAH

**For Compliance with Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) Revised
Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP) Grant Requirements**

Date: September 2026

Purpose

In April 2026, FMCSA announced updated requirements for State participation in the DataQs system as a condition of receiving MCSAP grant funding. To comply with those requirements, each State must submit a DataQs State Implementation Plan. The following pages contain the key elements of the plan approved by FMCSA for UTAH.

DataQs State Implementation Plan

State: UTAH

MCSAP Lead Agency: Utah Department of Transportation – Office of Motor Carriers

Initial Review Process

Roles and Responsibilities

- Persons responsible for managing DataQs for the organization
 - **Responsible Party:**
Data Q Coordinator
Operations Manager 1
 - **Supervisor(s):**
Deputy Director
 - **Backups:**
Business Analyst
 - **Interactions:**
The DataQ Coordinator for the Utah Department of Transportation – Office of Motor Carriers oversees all DataQ's for the Division, except for crash determination and Citation adjudication.
The Operations Manager manages all DataQ's for the Division which involve crash data or Citation dispositions.
For Inspections performed by the Utah Highway Patrol or another partner agency, the request is forwarded to the Business Analyst, who then sends the request to the Sergeant of the Trooper or for initial review
- Person(s) responsible for decision-making for the Initial Review process
 - **Responsible Party:**
Data Q Coordinator
Operations Manager 1
 - **Supervisor(s):**
Deputy Director
 - **Backups:**
Business Analyst
 - **Interactions:**
The DataQ Coordinator for the Utah Department of Transportation – Office of Motor Carriers oversees all DataQ's for the Division, except for crash

determination and Citation adjudication.

The Operations Manager handles all DataQ's for the Division which involve crash data or Citation dispositions.

For Inspections performed by the Utah Highway Patrol or another partner agency, the request is forwarded to the Business Analyst, who then sends the request to the Sergeant of the Trooper or for initial review

- Person(s) consulted for additional information or evidence
 - **Responsible Party:**
Data Q Coordinator maintains CVSA certifications for NAS Parts A&B, as well as General Hazmat and Cargo Tank Inspections.
Operations Manager maintains all relevant certifications.
 - **Supervisor(s):**
Deputy Director
 - **Backups:**
Business Analyst
 - **Interactions:**
The DataQ Coordinator for the Utah Department of Transportation – Office of Motor Carriers oversees all DataQ's for the Division, except for crash determination and Citation adjudication.
The Operations Manager handles all DataQ's for the Division which involve crash data or Citation dispositions.
For Inspections performed by the Utah Highway Patrol or another partner agency, the request is forwarded to the Business Analyst, who then sends the request to the Sergeant of the Trooper or for initial review

Process Description

- Receipt of RDR
 - **Responsible Party:**
DataQ Coordinator
 - **Process:**
DataQ site is checked by the DataQ Coordinator at the beginning and end of every workday, as well as intermittently by the Operations Manager.
- Review of RDR
 - **Responsible Party:**
DataQ Coordinator
Operations Manager 1
 - **Process:**

Any Data Qs RDRs lacking sufficient documentation will be closed by the Coordinator, under the status "Closed - Insufficient Evidence." It's important to note that, in accordance with FMCSA's Data Qs Analyst Guide, a mere assertion from the driver or carrier, without supporting evidence, claiming data errors or requesting data removal from their profile will not be considered sufficient evidence.

The following Crash Data Q RDR types will be addressed as follows:

Crash Event RDRs:

Crash - Not an FMCSA reportable crash

MCD Staff will conduct a thorough investigation of the crash to verify its accuracy across multiple systems, including the UTAPS system, and SafeSpect. Additionally, MCD Staff will ensure that the crash aligns with the federal definition of a reportable crash.

It's important to note that while the information reported to SMS may be changed using the DataQ process, Utah Law strictly prohibits any alterations to a crash report, form DI-9, and such actions are considered a felony offense. In accordance with advice from DPS Counsel at the Attorney General's Office, the following response will be provided if a request to change the accident report (DI-9) is received:

"Thank you for your email and your request. Regarding your inquiry, we are unable to modify an accident report, as it falls beyond the scope of our authority. If you believe there is a misconception in this matter, kindly furnish statutory or regulatory language that would grant us such authority."

If the DI-9 differs from the information in the crash reporting system (UTAPS), updates to SafeSpect will be executed by MCD Staff.

Crash - Not my fault (Preventable):

The Data Qs system template response directing the requestor to the Crash Preventability Determination Program will be provided

Crash - Not mine (assigned to wrong motor carrier or driver):

The MCD Staff will thoroughly investigate the crash to verify its accuracy across different systems. They will also be responsible for managing Crash RDRs.

Crash - record missing from carrier or driver report; Duplicate (same crash listed multiple times); Crash report contains incorrect information

The MCD Staff will thoroughly investigate the crash to verify its accuracy across different systems. They will also be responsible for managing Crash RDRs.

The following Motor Carrier Division Inspection Data Qs Types will be addressed as follows:

Inspection Event RDRs:

Inspection - Never received a copy or lost the report

The Training and Data Qs Coordinator will conduct research on the report and then send it as an attachment through the Data Qs system.

Inspection - Missing from carrier or driver report; Inspection - Duplicate (same inspection listed multiple times); Inspection - Inspection report contains incorrect information/other

The Training and Data Qs Coordinator will investigate the report to identify a resolution for the RDR. If the report includes inaccuracies, such as incorrect motor carrier or driver information, the RDR will be categorized as "Inspection - Not mine (wrong motor carrier or driver)" and processed accordingly. For all other situations, the Training and Data Qs Coordinator will collaborate with their Supervisor to address and resolve the RDR.

Inspection - Citation with Associated Violation

The MCD Staff will verify that the RDR includes Certified Documentation detailing the outcome of the adjudication process. Once the documentation is confirmed as sufficient, the disposition will be updated in the system in accordance with Section 5 of the FMCSA Data Qs Analyst Guide, emphasizing that violations will not be deleted.

Inspection - Not mine (assigned to wrong motor carrier or driver); Inspection - Violation is incorrect, listed multiple times, or missing IEP/Shipper information

The Training and Data Qs Coordinator will contact the Inspector and/or Inspector's Supervisor to gather evidence from the Inspector. Subsequently, the Training and DataQs Coordinator will evaluate the evidence to determine the resolution of the Data Q RDR and be entered into the system.

Additional Documentation:

Should it be identified that Additional Documents are required, the Training and Data Qs Coordinator will initiate a request for the necessary documents through the Data Qs system. In the absence of any additional documentation uploaded to the Data Qs system within 14 calendar days, the Training and Data Qs Coordinator will conclude the Data Q without implementing any data corrections.

Duplicate Challenges:

If multiple challenges regarding the same Inspection are submitted as new RDRs, they shall be reopened under the original RDR number if fresh or

additional documentation,
which was previously unavailable or not initially provided, is received.
However, if no new information is accessible, the challenge will be
concluded with no data corrections made.

- Outcome of RDR
 - **Responsible Party:**
Data Q Coordinator
Operations Manager 1
 - **Process:**
Review all evidence from the inspector and the submitter along with any other applicable evidence available from such sources as FMCSA Query Central, State Databases, and Federal databases.
If the evidence provided establishes that the violation is incorrect or does not meet FMCSA or CVSA Policies, the violation will be removed or corrected on the inspection report.
If the violation is valid based on the evidence, the analyst will inform the submitter of this determination and close the RDR.
If the analyst changes or alters any violation to make the description clearer or changes a violation code to a more appropriate code, notify the inspector of the change and provide the requester with a copy of the new inspection report.
- Closure of RDR
 - **Responsible Party:**
DataQ Coordinator
Operations Manager 1
 - **Process:**
The Coordinator will make a decision after reviewing all evidence from the officer, the submitter, and/or other applicable resources.
If evidence establishes that the violation is incorrect or does not meet FMCSA and/or CVSA guidance, the violation will be removed or changed to meet guidance. The submitter will be notified of the outcome and provided details of the decision.
If the submitter files an RDR regarding an inspection that includes an unadjudicated citation or citation currently under a plea in abeyance the analyst will respond to the submitter advising them that the request cannot be reviewed until the court has adjudicated the citation. The analyst will also let the submitter know that, once the court has adjudicated the citation, the submitter can refile the RDR in so the results can be entered.

If the submitter files an RDR involving a court's decision regarding an adjudicated citation, the analyst will review and verify the court's decision. The analyst will annotate the adjudication in SafeSpect per the FMCSA DataQ Policy.

Each RDR closed with the status "Closed – No Data Correction Made," must adequately explain the facts and the decision process.

Responses must contain the following information:

- Reference to the approved State process in the DataQ system
- Decisionmaker (name and phone number) and point of contact
- List of evidence reviewed
- Decision
- Specific reason for decision
- Next steps/for more information

If the submitter does not provide evidence of why the violation should be removed, close the RDR and inform the submitter they can reopen it once evidence is provided.

If the submitter admits fault but wants the violation removed or reduced because The submitter fixed the violation after the inspection, let the submitter know that valid violations are never removed and that this is an inappropriate use of the DataQ system.

- **Timeliness:**

All RDR's shall be opened, changing the status to "open in review" within 7 calendar days of submission.

If additional information or evidence is needed from the Inspector, the Inspector shall be contacted via email. The Inspector's direct supervisors and the Operational Managers will be CC'd on the email.

To facilitate timely responses to Data Q RDRs, the Inspector receiving the RDR will respond within 5 days. If the inspector is off or otherwise unable to respond within this period, the Inspector's Supervisor(s) will notify the Coordinator there will be a late response.

The Coordinator will make every effort to ensure that Data Q RDRs receive a response within the 10-day timeframe specified by FMCSA.

Expected Outcomes for RDR Closures:

1. Closed—No Data Correction Made: The request has been closed, and the data will not be corrected. If the evidence is clear and all FMCSA and CVSA Policies have been followed, the RDR will be closed with no changes.

Responses must contain the following information:

- Reference to the approved State process in the DataQ system
- Decisionmaker (name and phone number) and point of contact
- List of evidence reviewed
- Decision
- Specific reason for decision
- Next steps/for more information

2. Closed—Data Correction Made: The request has been closed, and the data will be corrected. – The analyst will select, “Closed – Data Correction Made,” then select, “Template Closed – Data Correction Made.” Under the canned statement, the analyst will list any additional information.

If an inspection violation or description is changed, the analyst will describe the change and attach or mail the updated inspection.

3. Closed—Citation Results Entered: The request has been closed and the violation will be appended to reflect the outcome of the citation.

Once court documents are verified through the court, the analyst will annotate the outcome in SafeSpect via the FMCSA DataQ guidelines.

4. Closed—Report Not Sent: The request has been closed, but the requested inspection report was not sent.

If a report was requested but not sent, the analyst will explain the reason prior to closing the RDR.

5. Closed—Report Sent: The request has been closed and the agency sent the report. The agency may have faxed or uploaded the report to DataQs or it may have sent the report directly via email or postal mail.

If a report is sent, the analyst will describe how the report was sent. In most cases the report can be attached inside the RDR.

6. Closed—No Requestor Response: The request was closed because the requestor did not respond.

If the requestor does not respond to the analyst’s request in the time allotted, the analyst will state why the RDR was closed and add that the submitter may reopen the RDR by submitting all requested information.

Communication:

Responses will contain the Decision Maker’s name and email address. The analyst will provide a link to the State/DataQ policy outlining the review process. They will also list the evidence reviewed and the specific reason for the decision including any applicable references to CFR, CVSA Policies, and Utah law.

The Coordinator will inform the submitter of their option for further review if they do not agree with the analyst's decision.

Next Steps:

If a data correction has been made, the submitter will be advised of what changes were made. Under the template "Closed – Data Correction Made," will be an explanation of how long it will take for the change to go into effect in the FMCSA data system.

If changes are made to the inspection, a copy of the updated inspection will be attached or mailed.

The Coordinator will inform the submitter of their option for further review if they want to challenge the determination.

Reconsideration Review Process

Roles and Responsibilities

- Person(s) responsible for decision-making for the Reconsideration Review process
 - **Responsible Party:**
 - Operations Manager 1
 - Operations Manager 2
 - Operations Manager 3
 - DataQ Coordinator
 - **Supervisor(s):**
 - Deputy Director
 - **Backups:**
 - Business Analyst
 - **Interactions:**
 - The DataQ Coordinator for the UDOT Motor Carrier Division and will oversee the Reconsideration Review for all DataQs originally determined by the Operations Manager
 - Operations Manager 1 will manage the Reconsideration Review for all DataQs on Inspections Performed by the Division's Investigator Group, as long as he was not the decision maker during the Initial Review.
 - Operations Manager 2 will manage the Reconsideration Review for all DataQ's on Inspections performed at the Ports of Entry to which he is assigned.
 - Operations Manager 3 will manage the Reconsideration Review for all

DataQ's on Inspections performed at the Ports of Entry to which he is assigned.

For Inspections performed by the Utah Highway Patrol or another partner agency, the request is forwarded to the Business Analyst, who then sends the request to the Lieutenant of the Trooper or Local Agency Partner for Reconsideration review

- Person(s) consulted for additional information or evidence
 - **Responsible Party:**
The DataQ Coordinator and all Operations Managers each maintain CVSA certifications for NAS Parts A&B, as well as General Hazmat and Cargo Tank Inspections.
 - **Supervisor(s):**
Deputy Director
 - **Backups:**
<intentionally left blank>
 - **Interactions:**
The DataQ Coordinator for the UDOT Motor Carrier Division and will oversee the Reconsideration Review for all DataQs originally determined by Daniel Hunter
Operations Manager 1 will manage the Reconsideration Review for all DataQs on Inspections Performed by the Division's Investigator Group, as long as he was not the decision maker during the Initial Review.
Operations Manager 2 will manage the Reconsideration Review for all DataQ's on Inspections performed at the Ports of Entry to which he is assigned.
Operations Manager 3 will manage the Reconsideration Review for all DataQ's on Inspections performed at the Ports of Entry to which he is assigned.
For Inspections performed by the Utah Highway Patrol or another partner agency, the request is forwarded to the Business Analyst, who then sends the request to the Lieutenant of the Trooper or Local Agency Partner for Reconsideration review
- Person(s) convening a panel or committee
 - **Responsible Party:**
Utah does not convene a panel or committee at this step of the Review Process
 - **Supervisor(s):**
<intentionally left blank>

- **Backups:**
<intentionally left blank>
- **Interactions:**
<intentionally left blank>
- **Panel members' titles and associations:**
<intentionally left blank>

Escalation Procedures:

If a request for reconsideration of a DataQ decision is received, it will be forwarded to the appropriate decision maker based on the work location of the original Inspector and the decision maker of the initial review.

If the request for reconsideration includes new information or evidence the RDR will be reviewed in the Initial Review Process.

Process Description

- Review of RDR
 - **Responsible Party:**
Operations Manager 1
Operations Manager 2
Operations Manager 3
DataQ Coordinator
 - **Process:**
Review all evidence from the inspector and the submitter along with any other applicable evidence available from such sources as FMCSA Query Central, State Databases, and Federal databases.
If the evidence provided establishes that the violation is incorrect or does not meet FMCSA or CVSA Policies, the violation will be removed or corrected on the inspection report.
If the violation is valid based on the evidence, the analyst will inform the submitter of this determination and close the RDR.
If the analyst changes or alters any violation to make the description clearer or changes a violation code to a more appropriate code, notify the inspector of the change and provide the submitter a copy of the new inspection report.
All Reconsideration RDR's will be reviewed by a member of the Division admin who are subject matter experts:
- Outcome of RDR
 - **Responsible Party:**

Operations Manager 1
Operations Manager 2
Operations Manager 3
DataQ Coordinator

- **Process:**

The reconsideration request must be opened within 7 calendar days of the request.

The reconsideration reviewer must reach a decision and communicate it to the submitter within 21 calendar days.

The Reconsideration reviewer will review the request and all evidence from the inspector and submitter.

The Reconsideration reviewer(s) may request additional information from the requestor, provided it is relevant and material to the appeal. If the requestor provides the specifically requested information, the RDR remains in Reconsideration.

- Closure of RDR

- **Responsible Party:**

Operations Manager 1
Operations Manager 2
Operations Manager 3
DataQ Coordinator

- **Process:**

The analyst will make a decision after reviewing all evidence from the officer, the submitter, and/or other applicable resources.

If evidence establishes that the violation is incorrect or does not meet FMCSA and/or CVSA guidance, the violation will be removed or changed to meet guidance. The submitter will be notified of the outcome and provided details of the decision.

If the submitter files an RDR regarding an inspection that includes an unadjudicated citation, the analyst will respond to the submitter advising them that the request cannot be reviewed until the court has adjudicated the citation. The analyst will also let the submitter know that, once the court has adjudicated the citation, the submitter can refile the RDR in so the results can be entered.

If the submitter files an RDR involving a court's decision regarding an adjudicated citation, the analyst will review and verify the court's decision.

The analyst will annotate the adjudication in SafeSpect per the FMCSA DataQ Policy.

Each RDR closed with the status “Closed – No Data Correction Made,” must adequately explain the facts and the decision process.

Responses must contain the following information:

- Reference to the approved State process in the DataQ system
- Decisionmaker (name and phone number) and point of contact
- List of evidence reviewed
- Decision
- Specific reason for decision
- Next steps/for more information

If the submitter does not provide evidence of why the violation should be removed, close the RDR and inform the submitter they can reopen it once evidence is provided.

If the submitter admits fault but wants the violation removed or reduced because The submitter fixed the violation after the inspection, let the submitter know that valid violations are never removed and that this is an inappropriate use of the DataQ system.

- **Timeliness:**

All RDR’s shall be opened, changing the status to “open in review” within 7 calendar days of submission.

If additional information or evidence is needed from the Inspector, the Inspector shall be contacted via email. The Inspector’s direct supervisors and the Operational Managers will be CC’d on the email.

To facilitate timely responses to Data Q RDRs, the Inspector receiving the RDR will respond within 5 days. If the inspector is off or otherwise unable to respond within this period, the Inspector’s Supervisor(s) will notify the Coordinator there will be a late response.

The Coordinator will make every effort to ensure that Data Q RDRs receive a response within the 10-day timeframe specified by FMCSA.

Expected Outcomes for RDR Closures:

1. Closed—No Data Correction Made: The request has been closed, and the data will not be corrected. If the evidence is clear and all FMCSA and CVSA Policies have been followed, the RDR will be closed with no changes.

Responses must contain the following information:

- Reference to the approved State process in the DataQ system
- Decisionmaker (name and phone number) and point of contact
- List of evidence reviewed

- Decision
- Specific reason for decision
- Next steps/for more information

2. Closed—Data Correction Made: The request has been closed, and the data will be corrected. – The analyst will select, “Closed – Data Correction Made,” then select, “Template Closed – Data Correction Made.” Under the canned statement, the analyst will list any additional information.

If an inspection violation or description is changed, the analyst will describe the change and attach or mail the updated inspection.

3. Closed—Citation Results Entered: The request has been closed and the violation will be appended to reflect the outcome of the citation.

Once court documents are verified through the court, the analyst will annotate the outcome in SafeSpect via the FMCSA DataQ guidelines.

4. Closed—Report Not Sent: The request has been closed, but the requested inspection report was not sent.

If a report was requested but not sent, the analyst will explain the reason prior to closing the RDR.

5. Closed—Report Sent: The request has been closed and the agency sent the report. The agency may have faxed or uploaded the report to DataQs or it may have sent the report directly via email or postal mail.

If a report is sent, the analyst will describe how the report was sent. In most cases the report can be attached inside the RDR.

6. Closed—No Requestor Response: The request was closed because the requestor did not respond.

If the requestor does not respond to the analyst’s request in the time allotted, the analyst will state why the RDR was closed and add that the submitter may reopen the RDR by submitting all requested information.

Communication:

Responses will contain the Decision Maker’s name and email address. The analyst will provide a link to the State/DataQ policy outlining the review process. They will also list the evidence reviewed and the specific reason for the decision including any applicable references to CFR, CVSA Policies, and Utah law.

The Coordinator will inform the submitter of their option for further review if they do not agree with the analyst’s decision.

Next Steps:

If a data correction has been made, the submitter will be advised of what changes were made. Under the template “Closed – Data Correction Made,” will be an explanation of how long it will take for the change to go into effect in the FMCSA data system. If changes are made to the inspection, a copy of the updated inspection will be attached or mailed.

If no changes are made, the RDR will be closed using the “Closed – No Data Correction Made” template. The submitter will be advised of the evidence reviewed, the reason for the determination, and the option to request further review.

Final Review Process

Roles and Responsibilities

- Person(s) responsible for decision-making for the Final Review process
 - **Responsible Party:**
Deputy Director
UHP Lieutenant
Industry Partner
UHP Sergeant
 - **Supervisor(s):**
UDOT Division Director
 - **Backups:**
<intentionally left blank>
 - **Interactions:**
If the UHP Lieutenant has been the decision maker during the Reconsideration Review, he will ask the UHP Sergeant to take his place on the committee during this step. Otherwise, the UHP Lieutenant will retain his seat as one of the three committee members.
- Person(s) consulted for additional information or evidence
 - **Responsible Party:**
Deputy Director
UHP Lieutenant
Industry Partner
UHP Sergeant
 - **Supervisor(s):**
UDOT Division Director

- **Backups:**
<intentionally left blank>
- **Interactions:**
The Deputy Director has over 30 years experience in UDOT's Motor Carrier Division, performing CVSA Inspections and has been a certified Instructor in the past.
The UHP Lieutenant is with the Utah Highway Patrol and is the Lieutenant over their Utah Truck Inspection Program
The Industry Partner is the safety manager of a Trucking Company based in Utah and is a respected voice regarding safety decisions
The UHP Sergeant is a current CVSA Instructor for NAS Parts A and B.
- Person(s) convening a panel or committee
 - **Responsible Party:**
Deputy Director
UHP Lieutenant
Industry Partner
UHP Sergeant
 - **Supervisor(s):**
UDOT Division Director
 - **Backups:**
The Deputy Director has over 30 years experience in UDOT's Motor Carrier Division, performing CVSA Inspections and has been a certified Instructor in the past.
The UHP Lieutenant is with the Utah Highway Patrol and is the Lieutenant over their Utah Truck Inspection Program
The Industry Partner is the safety manager of a Trucking Company based in Utah and is a respected voice regarding safety decisions
The UHP Sergeant is a current CVSA Instructor for NAS Parts A and B.
 - **Interactions:**
Deputy Director
UHP Lieutenant
Industry Partner
UHP Sergeant
 - **Panel members' titles and associations:**
Meets Requirements

Escalation Procedures:

Meets Requirements

Process Description

- Review of RDR
 - **Responsible Party:**

Each of the Committee members will review the RDR record, prior dispositions, comments, and evidence independently. Each Committee member will form their own response to the RDR and communicate the response privately to the Coordinator, to avoid prejudicing the other Committee members.

After all three responses have been returned to the Coordinator, the Coordinator will compile and compare the responses given. Final determination is made by majority decision, meaning if all Committee members decide on the same outcome, or if two of the Committee members agree on the same outcome that will be the final disposition.
 - **Process:**

Deputy Director
UHP Lieutenant
Industry Partner
UHP Sergeant
- Outcome of RDR
 - **Responsible Party:**

Each of the Committee members will review the RDR record, prior dispositions, comments, and evidence independently. Each Committee member will form their own response to the RDR and communicate the response privately to the Coordinator, to avoid prejudicing the other Committee members.

After all three responses have been returned to the Coordinator, the Coordinator will compile and compare the responses given. Final determination is made by majority decision, meaning if all Committee members decide on the same outcome, or if two of the Committee members agree on the same outcome that will be the final disposition.
 - **Process:**

DataQ Coordinator
- Closure of RDR
 - **Responsible Party:**

The Coordinator will compile and compare the responses from each of the three Committee Members. The final Disposition will be made by majority decision of the Committee.

The Coordinator will compose the final determination response based on the determination from the Committee using the rubric below.

The Coordinator will save the responses from the Committee members in the Inspecting agent's file in the DataQ email.

1. Closed—No Data Correction Made: The request has been closed, and the data will not be corrected. If the evidence is clear and all FMCSA and CVSA Policies have been followed, the RDR will be closed with no changes.

Responses must contain the following information:

- Reference to the approved State process in the DataQ system
- List of evidence reviewed
- Decision
- Specific reason for decision
- Next steps/for more information

2. Closed—Data Correction Made: The request has been closed, and the data

will be corrected. – The analyst will select, “Closed – Data Correction Made,” then select, “Template Closed – Data Correction Made.” Under the canned statement, the analyst will list any additional information.

If an inspection violation or description is changed, the analyst will describe the

change and attach or mail the updated inspection.

3. Closed—Citation Results Entered: The request has been closed and the violation will be appended to reflect the outcome of the citation.

Once court documents are verified through the court, the analyst will annotate

the outcome in SafeSpect via the FMCSA DataQ guidelines.

6. Closed—No Requestor Response: The request was closed because the requestor did not respond.

If the requestor does not respond to the analyst's request in the time allotted, the analyst will state why the RDR was closed and add that the submitter may

reopen the RDR by submitting all requested information.

○ **Process:**

All final review RDR's shall be opened within 7 calendar days.

The Committee Member's shall return their determination decisions to the Coordinator within 21 calendar days of being tasked with the review.

The Coordinator will complete the RDR within 7 calendar days of receiving the response from the last Committee Member

- Timeliness:
Meets Requirements

Expected Outcomes for RDR Closures:

Meets Requirements

Communication:

Meets Requirements

Next Steps:

Meets Requirements